10th May 1954] [Sri K. P. Gopalan]

in Malabar, must be taken into account. That was the view point expressed by the Appellate Tribunal. So, that question does not arise now. According to the Industrial Disputes Act, whenever there is a permanent retrenchment, the management should compulsorily pay all the dues, wages and other benefits to the workers."

Mr. SPEAKER :—" Is there no other remedy for this? Is the House a forum for this purpose? I wish to know if the Industrial Disputes Act does not provide any remedy if the benefits are not given to the workers."

Sri K. P. GOPALAN :—" The workers can go to the Industrial Court or the Industrial Tribunal. But it will take years . . ."

Mr. SPEAKER :—" But that does not mean that I should allow this adjournment motion. I should follow certain rules."

* Sri M. KALYANASUNDRAM :—" The Labour Department have not exercised their discretion judiciously. They are so simple-minded that they believe the story of the management and declare that it is a *bona fide* closure. I say it is a *mala fide* closure. If we wait for another two months, we will see that the same factory is reopened with less number of workers and lesser wages. This is a manoeuvre to force retrenchment and wage-cut on the workers. I request the Labour Minister not to be so simple-minded as to be deceived by this management."

* The Hon. Sri M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" I wish to tell the hon. the Deputy Leader of the Opposition that neither I nor the Labour Department is so innocent as he imagines. The Labour Department has not been supporting the management invariably. Hon. Members on the opposite side must know that if at all there is any bias, it is in favour of the workers."

Mr. SPEAKER :—" I have heard both sides—the hon. the Deputy Leader of the Opposition, the hon. Member who has given notice of the adjournment motion and the Government. It appears that the notice of retrenchment was served on the workers on 31st March 1954. The matter has been there for a long time. It is not a new thing that has occurred yesterday. Apart from that, we have nothing to do with the satyagraha of the workers. If the benefits are not given, there is a remedy. They can go to the court and seek remedy. So, I rule this motion out of order."

PROGRAMME OF THE HOUSE.

Sri S. RAMALINGAM :—" On a point of information 9-15 a.m.
இந்தச் சபை எத்தனை நாளைக்கு நடக்குமென்று பல அங்கத்தினர்கள் அறிய விரும்புகிறார்கள். அத்தோடு தாங்கள் நாளை முதல் ஒரு நாளைக்கு 40 கேள்விகள் அனுமதிக்கப் போவதாகச் சொன்னீர்கள். சபை எத்தனை நாள் நடக்குமென்று தெரிந்தால் அதற்குத் தக்கவாறு நீங்கள் கேள்விகளை சரியாகப் பிரித்து சபையில் வைக்கமுடியும். ஆகவே சர்க்கார் கட்சித் தலைவரை எத்தனை நாட்கள் சபை நடக்குமென்று தெரிவிக்கக்கோருகிறேன்."

[10th May 1954

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Sir, from the progress we are making, I am afraid we will have to sit the whole of the next week also."

ADJOURNMENT MOTION *re.* CLOSURE OF THE KODAKKAL FACTORY.

* DR. K. B. MENON :—" Sir, in connection with this adjournment motion, the case for the management . . ."

MR. SPEAKER :—" That matter is already over."

DR. K. B. MENON :—" I want to make a request, Sir."

MR. SPEAKER :—" Not after the ruling has been given."

DR. K. B. MENON :—" Not about the adjournment motion. I want to make another request."

MR. SPEAKER :—" When the ruling has been given, how can I go back on it? If the hon. Member had stood up at the proper time, I would have allowed him to say a few words as the leader of a Party."

DR. K. B. MENON :—" I am not talking for the adjournment motion. I am making an entirely different request."

MR. SPEAKER :—" Having given a ruling, I cannot allow a discussion on the same matter."

SRI R. S. ARUMUGAM :—" கனம் சபாநாயகர் அவர்களே, On a point of information . . ."

M. SPEAKER :—" உங்களுக்கு ஏதாவது சமாசாரம் வேண்டுமென்றால் என் அறைகு வாருங்கள். என்னிடம் முதலில் என்ன விஷயம் தெரிய வேண்டுமென்று சொல்லுங்கள். நான் சம்பந்தப்பட்ட கனம் மந்திரி அவர்களிடத்தில் முன்பே தெரியப்படுத்தி, அதற்கான பதிலையும் தயாராகக் கொடுக்கச் செய்கிறேன். இனிமேல் ஏதாவது information வேண்டுமென்றால் என் அறைகு வந்து முதலிலேயே அதைப்பற்றி கேளுங்கள்.

We shall now take up the Madras Land Revenue (Surcharge) Bill, 1954."

III.—GOVERNMENT BILL.

THE MADRAS LAND REVENUE (SURCHARGE) BILL, 1954—*cont.*

MR. SPEAKER :—" Clause 2 relates to definitions. So, we shall now take up clause 3. The motion is—

'That clause 3 do stand part of the Bill.' "

SRI M. KALYANASUNDRAM :—" Sir, I move the following amendment which stands in my name :—

'For *the existing clause*, substitute *the following* :—

" 3. *Levy of surcharge.—Every landholder who is liable to pay a sum exceeding Rs.* 250 *for a fasli as land revenue to the Government in respect of all the lands held by him*